DMB

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 00N-1072]

| Display Date | 7-5-00 |
|----------------|-----------|
| Publication Da | ate 76,00 |
| Certifier (| nunusou |
| | |

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Administrative Detention and Banned Medical Devices; Correction

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that the proposed collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This document also corrects several errors that appeared in Table 1 of a notice published in the **Federal Register** of March 31, 2000 (65 FR 17282).

DATES: Submit written comments on the collection of information by [insert date 30 days after date of publication in the Federal Register].

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the collection of information to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, New Executive Office Bldg., 725 17th St. NW., rm. 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Wendy Taylor, Desk Officer for FDA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peggy Schlosburg, Office of Information Resources Management (HFA-250), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-827-1223.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

NCRI

Administrative Detention and Banned Medical Devices—21 CFR 800.55(g), 800.55(k), 895.21, and 895.22 (OMB No. 0910–0114)—Extension

FDA has the statutory authority under section 304(g) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 334(g)), to detain during establishment inspections devices that are believed to be adulterated or misbranded. On March 9, 1979, FDA issued a final regulation on administrative detention procedures, which includes, among other things, certain reporting requirements (§ 800.55(g) (21 CFR 800.55(g))) and recordkeeping requirements (§ 800.55(k)). Under § 800.55(g), an applicant of a detention order must show documentation of ownership if devices are detained at a place other than that of the appellant. Under § 800.55(k), the owner or other responsible person must supply records about how the devices may have become adulterated or misbranded, as well as records of distribution of the detained devices. These recordkeeping requirements for administrative detentions allow FDA to trace devices for which the detention period expired before a seizure is accomplished or injunctive relief is obtained.

FDA also has the statutory authority under section 516 of the act (21 U.S.C. 360f) to ban devices that present substantial deception or an unreasonable and substantial risk of illness or injury. The final regulation for banned devices contains certain reporting requirements (§§ 895.21(d) and 895.22(a) (21 CFR 895.21(d) and 895.22(a))). Section 895.21(d) states that if the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (the Commissioner) decides to initiate a proceeding to make a device a banned device, a notice of proposed rulemaking will be published in the Federal Register, and this notice will contain the finding that the device presents a substantial deception or an unreasonable and substantial risk of illness or injury. The notice will also contain the reasons why the proceeding was initiated, an evaluation of data and information obtained under other provisions of the act, any consultations with the panel, and a determination as to whether the device could be corrected by labeling or change of labeling, or change of advertising, and if that labeling or change of advertising has been made. Under § 895.21(d), any interested person may request an informal hearing and submit written comments. Under § 895.22, a manufacturer, distributor, or importer

of a device may be required to submit to FDA all relevant and available data and information to enable the Commissioner to determine whether the device presents substantial deception, unreasonable and substantial risk of illness or injury, or unreasonable, direct, and substantial danger to the health of individuals.

Respondents to this collection of information are those manufacturers, distributors, or importers whose products FDA seeks to detain or ban.

In the **Federal Register** of March 31, 2000 (65 FR 17282), the agency requested comments on the proposed collection of information. No significant comments were received. Also, in the notice published in the **Federal Register** of March 31, 2000 (65 FR 17282 at 17283), Table 1 contained several errors. Table 1 of this document corrects those errors.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN¹

| 21 CFR Section | No. of Respondents | Annual Frequency per Response | Total Annual Responses | Hours per Response | Total Hours |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 800.55(g)(1) and (g)(2) 895.22(a) Total | 1 26 | 1 | 1 26 | 1 16 | 1 416 441 |

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

TABLE 2.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN¹

| 21 CFR Section | No. of Recordkeepers | Annual Frequency per Recordkeeping | Total Annual Records | Hours per Recordkeeper | Total Hours |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 800.55(k) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 20 |

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Over the past 3 years, there has been an average of one new administrative detention action per year. Each administrative detention will have varying amounts of data and information that must be maintained. Historically, FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) has

had very few or no annual responses for this information collection and normally reports one response per year. CDRH is anticipating a banning action in fiscal year 2000 that will involve 26 firms.

Dated: June 28, 2000

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL

William K. Hubbard,

Senior Associate Commissioner

for Policy, Planning, and Legislation.

[FR Doc. 00-???? Filed ??-??-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F